TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

Meeting Held At Transportation Agency for Monterey County Conference Room 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas

FINAL Minutes of Thursday, June 6, 2019

FINAL IVI											
COMMITTEE MEMBERS	JUN 18	AUG 18	SEP 18	OCT 18	NOV 18	JAN 19	FEB 19	MAR 19	APR 19	MAY 19	JUN 19
R. Harary, Carmel-by-the-Sea (S. Friedrichsen)	P	C	C	P(A)		C	P	P	P	C	P
D. Pick, Del Rey Oaks		A	A	P		A				A	
P. Dobbins Gonzales (M. Sundt)	Р	N	N	P	P	N	P		P(A)	N	P
R. Mullane, Greenfield	P	C	C			C	P(A)			C	
O. Hurtado, King City (S. Adams)	P	E	E	P	P	E	P	P		E	P
B. McMinn, Marina, <mark>Chair</mark> (E. Delos Santos)	P	L	L		P	L	P	P	P	L	P
A. Renny, Monterey (F. Roveri)	P(A)	L	L	P(A)	P(A)	L	P(A)	P(A)	P	L	P(A)
D. Gho, Pacific Grove (M. Brodeur)	P	E	E	P	P	E	P	P	P	E	P(A)
A. Easterling, Salinas, Vice Chair (J. Serrano)	P	D	D	P(A)	P	D	P	P	P	D	P
L. Gomez, Sand City (F. Meuer)	P						P(A)	P	P		P
R. Riedl, Seaside (L. Llantero)	P			P			P(A)		P		
D. Wilcox, Soledad (B. Slama, E. Waggoner)											
E. Saavedra, MCPW (R. Martinez)				P(A)	P		P	P(A)	P(A)		
Vacant , Monterey County Economic Development											
S. Vienna, AMBAG (H. Adamson)				P(A)	P(A)		P(A)	P	P		
O. Ochoa-Monroy, Caltrans (K. McClendon)	P(A)			P			P(A)	P	P		
M. McCluney, CSUMB	P(A)			P(A)							
A. Romero, MBUAPCD											
J. Brinkmann, FORA (P. Said)				Р							
L. Rheinheimer, MST (M. Overmeyer)	Р			Р	Р		P(A)	Р	Р		Р

STAFF	JUN	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
	18	18	18	18	18	19	19	19	19	19	19
D. Hale, Exec. Director		C	C			C	P	P			
T. Muck, Dep. Exec. Director	P	\boldsymbol{A}	\boldsymbol{A}	P	P	\boldsymbol{A}	P	P	P		P
M. Zeller, Principal Transp. Planner		N	N	P		N	P	P	P		P
C. Watson, Principal Transp. Planner		C	C			C					
V. Murillo, Transportation Planner	P	\boldsymbol{E}	\boldsymbol{E}			\boldsymbol{E}					
T. Wright, Public Outreach Coordinator		L	\boldsymbol{L}			\boldsymbol{L}					P
G. Leonard, Transportation Planner		\boldsymbol{L}	\boldsymbol{L}		P	\boldsymbol{L}					
R. Deal, Principal Engineer	P	E	E	P	P	E	P		P		P
A. Green, Assoc. Transportation Planner		D	D			D		P	P		P
S. Castillo, Transportation Planner				P			P				
L. Williamson, Senior Engineer							P	P	P		P

OTHERS PRESENT:

Paul Hierling, AMBAG Randy Ishii, County of Monterey Brenda Villanueva, County of Monterey Scott Ottmar, City of Seaside

1. ROLL CALL

Chair Brian McMinn, City of Marina, called the meeting to order at 9:30 am. Introductions were made and a quorum was established.

1.1 ADDITIONS OR CORRECTIONS TO AGENDA

None.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

3. BEGINNING OF CONSENT AGENDA

Harary/Easterling/unanimous

3.1 APPROVE the minutes of the Technical Advisory Committee meeting of April 4, 2019 with the following corrections: Item 5 - revise the implementation date for statewide implementation of Senate Bill 743 to July 1, 2020; and Item 7 - note that Patrick Dobbins announced the retirement of Harold Wolgamott.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

4. 2019 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN

Paul Hierling, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, provided an informational update to the Committee on the 2019 Public Participation Plan.

Mr. Hierling presented that the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) is required by federal regulations to prepare and maintain a public participation plan for the tri-county region, which describes how public participation will be structured for federally-funded transportation plans, programs and projects in the region.

The federal requirements for updating the 2019 Public Participation Plan, includes increased involvement and collaboration with members of the public and decision makers and staff from all local jurisdictions and AMBAG's partner agencies within the region, including the Transportation Agency.

The key dates for developing the 2019 Public Participation Plan are:

- June 12, 2019: AMBAG Board of Directors will be asked to release the Draft 2019 Public Participation Plan for public comment
- August 14, 2019: Public Hearing on the Draft 2019 Public Participation Plan at AMBAG's Board Meeting
- August 21, 2019: Public Hearing on the Draft 2019 Public Participation Plan at TAMC's Board Meeting
- August 23, 2019: Close of the public comment period
- October 9, 2019: Final 2019 Public Participation Plan adopted by the AMBAG Board of Directors.

Lisa Rheinheimer, Monterey Salinas Transit, asked are you anticipating major changes to the next update? Mr. Hierling responded no, it will include an outline of what is required based on federal regulations.

Brian McMinn, City of Marina, asked do you know when it will be online for review? Mr. Hierling responded Monday, June 10, 2019.

5. MEASURE X FIRST YEAR AUDIT RESULTS

Michael Zeller, Principal Transportation Planner, provided an update on the results of the Measure X annual audit and compliance reporting for 2017/18.

Mr. Zeller presented that The first full year of Measure X reporting, for fiscal year 2017/18, was due on December 31, 2018. As this was the first year of the independent audits' requirements, there has been a learning curve for all entities involved. Five out of the thirteen entities were late in submitting their reporting materials. As a result, the review by the TAMC auditors and the Measure X Transportation Oversight Committee was delayed. The oversight committee has requested that jurisdictions redouble their efforts to submit their audit reports on time to allow them adequate review prior to issuing their annual report in late February, for publication in April and delivery in May. In addition, some of the

reports were incomplete. As of May 13, 2019, of the thirteen recipient jurisdictions, nine have fully complied and four have only partially complied with the independent audit requirements.

At this time, staff is continuing to work with the non-compliant jurisdictions to ensure all reporting materials are submitted. Staff will then evaluate this year's process and develop a set of recommend changes to help clarify the requirements and avoid reporting delinquencies in the future. One of the changes under consideration is an adjustment to the maintenance of effort requirement. The purpose of the maintenance of effort requirement is to assure that no funds previously used for transportation are shifted to other uses and then backfilled with Measure X monies - the so-called "bait and switch." Measure X has a rolling three-year average calculation of maintenance of effort. By contrast, the state's SB 1 program calculates maintenance of effort based on a fixed year of past expenditures. The SB 1 calculation would meet the Measure X maintenance of effort intent without penalizing agencies that make a large one-time investment in transportation.

Brian McMinn, City of Marina, responded that we want to increase our spending, but the current MOE methodology will penalize us.

Scott Ottmar, City of Seaside, asked can we spend Measure X on storm drain improvements? Todd Muck, Deputy Executive Director, responded that it depends if the storm drain improvements are part of a transportation project.

Randy Ishii, County of Monterey, responded that construction costs are going up and supports the current MOE calculation methodology.

6. PAJARO TO PRUNEDALE G12 CORRIDOR STUDY PRESENTATION

Rich Deal, Principal Engineer, presented the Pajaro to Prunedale County Route G12 Corridor Study.

Mr. Deal presented that the Transportation Agency in partnership with the County of Monterey and Caltrans conducted a study of the County Route G12 Corridor to identify improvements that will provide safer access to all modes of travel. The G12 Corridor Study evaluated current and future travel patterns between US 101 / San Miguel Canyon Road Interchange on the east end of G12, the Highway 1 / Salinas Road interchange on the west end of G12, and Main Street at the north limit between Pajaro and Watsonville. The study evaluated the feasibility of affordable mid-term operational and safety improvements in the corridor in context with other planned regional improvements, while retaining the unique character of the Elkhorn Slough setting.

The goal of the Pajaro to Prunedale Corridor Study is to facilitate critical multimodal transportation improvements that will reduce congestion, improve safety and operations, support the regional economy, and protect the environment in North Monterey County. Once completed, this study will provide the data, analysis, and public deliberation necessary to make informed decisions on safety and operations projects that can be

implemented over the next twenty years along San Miguel Canyon Road-Hall Road-Salinas Road (the G12 Corridor) between the Salinas and Pajaro Valleys.

Patrick Dobbins, City of Gonzales, asked if the report has this gone to the Board of Directors yet? Todd Muck, Deputy Executive Director, replied that the final results will be presented this month. Normally, this would come to the Technical Advisory Committee earlier in the process and we missed an opportunity to receive your input sooner.

Bob Harary, City of Carmel, asked if there is a cost estimate and if the project will be constructed in phases? Rich Deal, Principal Engineer, responded that the total project cost is \$55 million, and the County will construct the project in phases.

Randy Ishii, County of Monterey, asked if there will be a separate presentation to the County Board of Supervisors? Mr. Deal replied yes, we can schedule a presentation.

Lisa Rheinheimer, Monterey Salinas Transit, asked how do you propose to deal with transit infrastructure? Mr. Deal responded that the corridor study covers access to transit stops, but it's high level and the County will have to look at the design solutions as the phased projects move forward.

7. SEASIDE & MARINA SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL UPDATE

Ariana Green, Associate Transportation Planner, presented an update on the Seaside & Marina Safe Routes to School May pop-ups and walk/bike to school events.

Ms. Green presented that temporary safety demonstrations were installed at Martin Luther King Jr. School of the Arts along Broadway Avenue in Seaside and at Crumpton and Marina Vista elementary schools along Carmel Avenue in Marina using tape, wave delineators, and washable green and purple paint denoting would-be bike lanes and curb extensions.

Parents were alerted about the safety demonstrations and walk/bike to school events via their school's email and text blast communications. Banners with demonstration dates were displayed at the entrance of each school weeks before the pop-ups were installed. Posters explaining the temporary improvements were displayed at the entrance of each school for the two weeks the demonstration was up. All communication was provided in English and Spanish.

Parents and members of the community had the opportunity to provide input on whether or not the project should be made permanent through surveys distributed and filled out during the event. All walk and bike to school events were well attended despite rainy weather.

Octavio Hurtado, King City, asked what issues did you have with the temporary paint? Ms. Green responded that it was more difficult to take off than we were expecting. Marina had to use a pressure washer. We learned that we can dilute the paint and it will still work.

Fernanda Roveri, City of Monterey, asked if construction costs are so high, is it possible to just use permanent paint and wave delineator or do you need concrete? Ms. Green responded that the delineators are meant to be temporary and people may just drive over the paint. The ADA ramps were also made from wood and that would need to be concrete.

Randy Ishii, County of Monterey, asked can these expand out to Castroville and Chualar? Ms. Green responded yes, we can do more of these events.

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Fernanda Roveri, City of Monterey, announced that the City of Monterey is conducting a vehicle miles travelled threshold analysis and inquired about other jurisdictions' interest in pooling resources. Several Committee members volunteered to discuss the issue further.

Randy Ishii, County of Monterey, announced that the County is working on a set of projects, one of which is a countywide safety striping project, and he would like to work with jurisdictions to coordinate projects where there are plans to complete the safety striping.

9. ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 11:20 am.