



Regional Transportation Planning Agency - Local Transportation Commission

Monterey County Service Authority for Freeways & Expressways - Email: info@tamcmonterey.org

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY

Measure X eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee (X-TOC)

Tuesday, October 16, 2018 **2:00 PM**

MEETING LOCATION: Agricultural Center Conference Room

1. ROLL CALL

Call to order and self-introductions. If you are unable to attend, please contact Elouise Rodriguez, Senior Administrative Assistant. Your courtesy to the other members to assure a quorum is appreciated.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any member of the public may address the Committee on any item not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Committee. Each member of the public is allotted with three minutes to address any concerns. Comments on items on today's agenda may be given when that agenda item is discussed.

3. BEGINNING OF CONSENT AGENDA

Approve the staff recommendations for items listed below by majority vote with one motion. Any member may pull an item off the Consent Agenda to be moved to the end of the **CONSENT AGENDA** for discussion and action.

3.1 APPROVE draft minutes of the July 17, 2018 committee meeting.

- Wright

3.2 RECEIVE a report of the Measure X Transportation Safety and Investment Plan's receipts and disbursements for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2018.

- Defino

During the past fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization collected and remitted \$28,026,311.23 to the Monterey County Measure X Fund. These receipts have far

exceed the original yearly estimate of \$20,000,000, which was based on a five-year average of past sales tax revenues from 2008/09 through 2012/13.

3.3 APPROVE 2019 schedule of the eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee meetings.

- Wright

The proposed schedule of the eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee meetings for 2019 follows the existing pattern of quarterly meetings held at 2:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of the month in January, April, July and October of each year.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

4. RECEIVE presentation on Measure X local projects from the City of Salinas.

- Wright/Sandoval

The Measure X Transportation Safety and Investment Plan was approved by over 2/3rd of voters in November 2016. The measure is anticipated to generate \$20 million annually with 60% of the revenues allocated to local jurisdictions. This report provides an update on the status of Measure X projects in the City of Salinas.

5. RECEIVE an update on Proposition 6, the ballot measure that would repeal the Senate Bill 1 gas tax and fees, and the impacts that this ballot measure would have on the delivery of priority Measure X projects and local road maintenance in Monterey County.

- Wright

TAMC staff will present an update on Proposition 6, the proposed repeal of new gas taxes and vehicle registration fees.

6. RECEIVE report on the Transportation Town Hall in Gonzales held on September 19 and 20.

- Hale

The City of Gonzales, the Transportation Agency for Monterey County and the Central Coast Coalition hosted 5 members of the California Transportation Commission and their staff at a Salinas Valley town hall. The purpose of the event was to provide a local perspective on the transportation needs in the region.

- 7. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- 8. ADJOURN

Next Committee Meeting: January 15, 2019 Marina Branch Library, Marina Light refreshments will be provided

If you have any items for the next agenda, please submit them to: Theresa Wright, eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee Coordinator By Wednesday, January 2, 2019

theresa@tamcmonterey.org

Documents relating to an item on the open session that are distributed to the Committee less than 72 hours prior to the meeting shall be available for public inspection at the office of the Transportation Agency for Monterey County, 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas, CA. Documents distributed to the Committee at the meeting by staff will be available at the meeting; documents distributed to the Committee by members of the public shall be made available after the meeting.

Transportation Agency for Monterey County 55-B Plaza Circle, Salinas, CA 93901-2902 Monday thru Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. TEL: 831-775-0903 FAX: 831-775-0897

The Committee Agenda will be prepared by Agency staff and will close at noon nine (9) working days before the regular meeting. Any member may request in writing an item to appear on the agenda. The request shall be made by the agenda deadline and any supporting papers must be furnished by that time or be readily available.

If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Individuals requesting a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, may contact Transportation Agency at 831-775-0903. Auxiliary aids or services include wheelchair accessible facilities, sign language interpreters, Spanish Language interpreters and printed materials, and printed materials in large print, Braille or on disk. These requests may be made by a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting, and should be made at least 72 hours before the meeting. All reasonable efforts will be made to accommodate the request.



Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee

From: Theresa Wright, Community Outreach Coordinator

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee Minutes

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

APPROVE draft minutes of the July 17, 2018 committee meeting.

ATTACHMENTS:

Draft eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee Meeting-July 17, 2018

DRAFT MINUTES TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY (TAMC) eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee (X-TOC) July 17, 2018 Meeting

Held at the Agricultural Center Conference Room 1428 Abbott Street, Salinas, CA

Voting Members	APR	JUL	OCT	JAN	APR	JUL	OCT	JAN
g	17	18	17	16	17	17	16 2010	15 2010
Varia Dartas Chais	2017 P	2017 P	2017 P	2018 P	2018 P	2018 P	2018	2019
Kevin Dayton, Chair	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Salinas Valley Taxpayers Association	A	P	A	A				
(Rick Giffin) Cesar Lara	P	P	P	A	A P	A		
Transit Users	P	P	P	А	P	Α		
(Monica Gurmilan)	_	_	Е	P				
Janet Brennan	P	Е	A	P	A P	A P		
League of Women Voters	r	E	A	P	r	P		
(Howard Fosler)	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Tom Rowley	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Monterey Peninsula Taxpayers Association	r	r	r	r	r	r		
(Jack Jensen)	P		A	P	P			
Kalah Bumba	P	A P	P	P	P	A P		
Senior or disabled services agency	r	P	P	P	r	P		
(Teresa Sullivan)	P	Е		Е	A			
Victoria Beach	E	P	A P	P	A P	A A		
Bicycling Advocate	L	Г	Г	Г	Г	A		
(Claire Rygg)	P	Е	Λ.	۸	۸	۸		
Rod Smalley	P	P	A P	A P	A P	A P		
Labor Organization	1	1	1	1	1	1		
(Glen Schaller)	Α	P	A	A	A	P		
Christie Cromeenes	P	P	P	A	A	P		
Central Coast Builders Association	1	1	1	Λ	Λ	1		
(Cliff Fasnacht)	P	Α	Α	Α	Α	A		
John Haupt	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Salinas Valley Chamber of Commerce	1	1	1	1	1	1		
(John Bailey)	P	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α		
Dan Limesand	P	P	P	P	E	P		
Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce	1	•	_	-		•		
(Jody Hansen)	P	P	Α	Α	P	P		
Scott Waltz	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Habitat Preservation	1	•	_	-	-	•		
(Sarah Hardgrave)	Α	P	P	P	P	P		
Barbara Meister	P	P	P	P	E	P		
Hospitality	1	1	1	1	L	1		
(Gary Cursio)	P	P	P	P	A	Е		
Norm Groot	P	P	E	P	P	P		
Agriculture	1	1		1	1	1		
(Kurt Gollnick)	P	A	Е	A	Α	A		
Joshua Jorn	P	P	A	A	A	A		
Education	P	A	A	A	A	P		
(Ruben Parra, Patrick Deberdt)	P	A	A	A	A	P		
(Nuven I urra, I arrick Deveral)	Г	А	Α.	Λ	Α.	I		

Dayla Catzalman	P	P	P	P	Р	P	Ī	
Paula Getzelman	P	P	P	Р	Р	Р		
South County (Carol Kenyon)	Е	A	P	P	P	P		
Chris Barrera	P	E	P	P	P	P		
Latino Organization	1	L	1	1	1	1		
(Vacant)	_	_	_	_	-	_		
Ron Rader	P	P	P	P	Р	Р		
North County								
(Scott Freeman)	Е	Α	Α	A	A	Α		
Heidi Zamzow			P	P	P	A		
Pedestrian	_	_						
(Vacant)	-	-	-	-	ı	-		
Anthony Tomas Rocha	_	Α	P	P	Е	P		
Youth/College	_	_	_					
(Vacant)				-	-	-		
Sean Hebard	Е	P	Е	P	P	Е		
Construction	_		_	_		_		
(Keith Severson)	Е	A	P	Е	A	Е		
TRANSPORTATION AGENCY STAFF								
Debbie Hale, Executive Director	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Todd Muck, Deputy Executive Director	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Theresa Wright,	P	P	P	P	P	P		
Community Outreach Coordinator								
Mike Zeller, Principal Transportation Planner	E	P	P	P	P	P		
Kay Reimann, Transportation Agency Council	P							
Virginia Murillo, Transportation Planner			P	P	P			
Rich Deal, Transportation Engineer			P	P				
Ariana Green, Transportation Planner				P		P		
Rita Goel,				_	Р	_		
Deputy for Administration & Finance					1			
Dave Delfino, Finance Director					P			
OTHERS PRESENT:								
Rae Jett - Graniterock						P		
Dennis McElroy – Graniterock						P		
Mike Butler - MST						P		
Lysander Caceras – TAMC Intern						P		
						P		
Daniel Hernandez- Sustainability Salinas								
Andy Smith, Andy Smith Company						P		
Janie Smith, Andy Smith Company						P		

P-PRESENT E-EXCUSED A-ABSENT

- VACANT POSITION

1. WELCOME

Kevin Dayton, Committee Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m. Chair Dayton asked each member to introduce themselves and for Theresa Wright, TAMC's Community Outreach Coordinator, to use their introductions as a roll call, by noting who was present. Chair Dayton also asked TAMC staff and members of the public to introduce themselves.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Dayton called for public comment. Andy Smith, a member of the public, expressed his concerns about the number of accidents that have occurred along Highway 101 at Spence Road, Hartnell Road and Potter Road. Making use of the conference room white board, he diagrammed the problem areas and illustrated his suggestions to make safety improvements by building an overpass at Harris Road and extend Abbot Street. Mr. Smith's daughter, Janie, offered additional remarks on their safety concerns about the corridor. Chair Dayton thanked them for their comments. He then proceeded to items on the consent agenda.

3. <u>APPROVE THE eXcellent COMMITTEE MINUTES FOR APRIL 17, 2018</u>

Chair Dayton asked if any members wanted any item pulled from the consent agenda. Member Fosler said that he had a question related to the minutes and asked that they be pulled from the consent agenda. After the minutes were pulled, Mr. Fowler noted that the report on the Measure X audit stated that the revenue generated was \$26 million, exceeding the Agency's estimate of \$20 million annually. Mr. Fosler said this was a notable difference and wanted to know why. Debbie Hale, Executive Director, TAMC, answered that the Agency chose to be conservative in their estimate to account for the years, such as this one, where the revenue might exceed the projected annual amount and for other years, where a down-turn in the economy would generate less revenue during the 30-year term of the Measure.

Mr. Fosler said he also wanted to know if the 3.59% bonding rate listed in the staff report for the City of Salinas was a good figure. Ms. Hale said yes and explained why. Mr. Fosler said he was satisfied with the answers to his questions.

Chair Dayton called for a motion to approve the minutes of the April 17th meeting. The motion was made by member Cromeenes, seconded by member Groot and passed unanimously. The Chair proceeded to items on the regular agenda.

4. RECEIVE UPDATE ON SB 1 GAX TAX AND VEHICLE FEES REPEAL ACT

Christina Watson, Principal Transportation Planner, provided an update on the proposed ballot measure to repeal the new Senate Bill 1 gas taxes and vehicle fees, and the delays this repeal would have on the delivery of priority Measure X projects in Monterey County. Ms. Watson reported that for the first time in 25 years, the gas tax was raised to address the billions of dollars of backlogged transportation infrastructure needs in the state. She said the purpose of the measure was to keep up with inflation and to make up for the lost revenues due to increasing fuel efficiency of vehicles. She added that the cost of these combined fees and taxes to the average California motorist is \$8 per month.

Ms. Watson further reported that the revenue from the gas tax for Monterey County has been significant and provided a breakdown of the nearly \$77 million dollars Monterey County has received for programs to improve local highways, city and county roads and transit services.

Ms. Hale concluded by adding that the repeal ballot initiative had gathered the required signatures and that it would appear on the November ballot as Proposition 6.

Committee members asked what would happen if the gas tax was repealed and how its repeal would impact current and future projects. The subsequent discussion included an explanation of how the gas tax revenues have so far matched Measure X funds on a 1 to 1 basis, doubling the money for local projects. Staff also noted that the recently approved Proposition 69 protects these transportation funds from being siphoned off to fund non-transportation projects. In response to a question, staff noted that an SB 1 repeal would reduce State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funding for roadway and rail projects, such as Imjin Parkway, State Route 156 improvements, US 101 improvements south of Salinas, and the Rail Extension to Monterey County. If the projects are defunded by the voters, it would result in delays, downscoping and in some cases, deleting projects from the countywide list of priorities.

RECEIVE REGIONAL MEASURE X PROJECT UPDATE

5. Mr. Todd Muck, Deputy Director, provided an update on the status of regional Measure X projects, including the current and planned usage of SB 1 gas tax and vehicle fee revenues as matching funds for these projects.

Mr. Muck stated that Measure X was estimated to raise \$20 million annually (and it raised \$26 million in 2017/18): 40% for regional projects and programs and 60% for local projects. The newly-approved SB 1 gas taxes and vehicle fees are anticipated to provide matching funds for most of these projects. He then proceeded to update the committee on which regional Measure X projects are moving forward towards project delivery, leveraging matching funds from state gas taxes, vehicle fees, and other sources. They are:

- Imjin Parkway Safety & Traffic Flow Project
- Fort Ord Trail and Greenway (FORTAG) Project
- Scenic State Route (SR) 68 Safety & Traffic Flow Project
- SR 156/Castroville Blvd Interchange Project
- Holman Highway SR 68-Pacific Grove Project
- Highway 1 Rapid Bus Corridor Project
- Habitat Preservation-Advanced Mitigation

He noted in his presentation that each of these projects are seeking matching funds from the new gas tax and vehicle licenses fees enacted by SB 1. He said these projects are now at risk, of either being delayed, down-scoped or deleted if the gas tax repeal measure is approved by voters. He added that polls are showing that initially half of the voters support and half oppose the repeal, but as they receive more information about the projects funded, a clear majority of voters oppose the repeal. He also said that many of the voters appreciated the fact that SB 1 gas taxes and fee revenues help support lifeline transit service in Monterey County, and provide the matching funds needed to construct Measure X priority projects. Mr. Muck concluded by saying that TAMC has taken an "oppose" position on the gas tax repeal initiative.

Committee members asked questions about each project and acknowledged that the Agency had done an excellent job of leveraging Measure X funds, as promised. They thanked Mr. Muck for his regional project presentation and said they would like a similar presentation from local jurisdictions about their Measure X projects. After further discussion, the committee asked that staff send a request to the City of Salinas to present to the committee on their Measure X projects at the October meeting.

Chair Dayton, called for public comment. Receiving none, he proceeded to the next item on the agenda.

6. RECEIVE UPDATE ON THE MEASURE X SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM

Ariana Green, Transportation Planner, presented an update on the Measure X-funded initiative, Safe Route to School Program. Ms. Green explained that the program funds projects and programs that improve children's health by making walking and bicycling safer and easier. She further stated that this program sets a vision and identifies goals, objectives and types of programs and activities that can be funded. She stressed that central to the success of the Measure X Safe Routes to School program is the ability to effectively collaborate with other agencies to reach program goals. To ensure regular opportunities for communication and collaboration, she said that agencies and organizations have been invited to participate in a Safe Route to School Task Force facilitated quarterly by TAMC staff.

Ms. Green reviewed the following activities accomplished in the first year of the program:

- Adopted Guidelines;
- Obtained a Planning Grant for a Seaside/Marina Safe Routes to Schools plan;
- Set a Goal of Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety Education for all Second and Fifth Graders.

She reported that the following major Safe Routes to School projects are scheduled for fiscal year 2018/2019:

- Develop a comprehensive Measure X Safe Routes to School Website;
- Apply for Active Transportation Program Grants for infrastructure improvements and education programming;
- Develop data maps for schools in Seaside & Marina;
- Coordinate with County Health Department on education and outreach in the Salinas Valley; and
- Develop mini-grant program guidelines

After the presentation, members asked questions about the program and requested that a list of the members of the Safe Route to School Task Force be sent to the oversight committee and that the list be included in the minutes of the meeting.

Following the discussion, Chair Dayton, called for public comment. Receiving none, he proceeded to announcements and/or comments.

7. <u>ANNOUNCEMENTS AND/OR COMMENTS</u>

Chair Dayton called for announcements and/or comments.

Theresa Wright, Community Outreach Coordinator, stated that the next meeting would be held at the same location of the current meeting, the Agricultural Center Conference Room, 1428 Abbott Street, in Salinas. She then asked Chair Dayton if the committee was committed dividing up the quarterly meetings so that 2 meetings were held in Salinas and 2 meetings were held on the Monterey Peninsula each year. In response to Chair Dayton's inquiry, committee members agreed to the suggestion. Chair Dayton then suggested that the meetings should be alternated back and forth between the 2 locations.

With no further announcements or comments, Chair Dayton proceeded to adjourn the meeting.

8. <u>ADJOURMENT</u>

Chair Dayton adjourned the meeting at 3:51p.m.



Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee

From: Dave Delfino, Finance Officer / Analyst

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: Measure X Revenues

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE a report of the Measure X Transportation Safety and Investment Plan's receipts and disbursements for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017 and ending June 30, 2018.

SUMMARY:

During the past fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization collected and remitted \$28,026,311.23 to the Monterey County Measure X Fund. These receipts have far exceed the original yearly estimate of \$20,000,000, which was based on a five-year average of past sales tax revenues from 2008/09 through 2012/13.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

In fiscal year 2017/18, Measure X 3/8% transactions and use tax resulted in disbursed revenues of \$28,026,311.23 for transportation projects and programs. In addition, the Fund has earned \$103,588.11 of interest during this period. In terms of fund uses:

- \$16,634,660.19 was distributed to the Cities and County of Monterey for road repair and maintenance
- \$378,686.47 was spent on regional projects and programs;
- \$248,948.85 was spent on administrative costs and consulting fees; and,
- \$10,867,603.83 remains in the Measure X Fund for future regional project and program expenses.

DISCUSSION:

Receipts have been distributed on a quarterly basis to separate accounts maintained by the Cities and the County; for this year these local distributions amount to \$16,634,660.19. The allocation formula for the city-county shares is calculated using a formula based on 50% lanes miles and 50% population. The attached document shows the total distribution of funding to each city and the County. Cities and the County are required to provide their audited Measure X expenditures to the

Transportation Agency in December of each year, starting in 2018. Once reconciled, that information will be provided to the Oversight Committee.

Administrative expenses and consulting fees for the twelve-month period between July 2017 and June 2018 totaled \$248,948.85. These expenses included administrative staff time and benefits, legal counsel, financial consultants, materials and related expenses.

Expenses for regional projects and programs totaled \$378,686.47. Expenses charged to this category include staff time to: develop guidelines and procedures for Measure X regional programs, program grant distributions, and oversee project development work (corridor studies, engineering and environmental review) for Measure X regional projects.

ATTACHMENTS:

Measure X Financial Summary for the Year July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018

554 Transportation Safety & Investment Plan Account (TSIPA) Measure X Summary of Receipts and Disbursements July 2017 through June 2018

	Ju		Aug 17	Sep 17	Oct 17	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	TOTAL
Net Receipts from BOE plus Interest Revenue	\$ 3,18	4,125.53 \$	1,622,200.00	2,163,000.00 \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$	1,525,200.00 \$	2,781,399.77 \$	2,074,239.87 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	2,068,008.93 \$	28,129,899.34
Allocation of Receipts									1. 4 1300					
County Election Fee Collected	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-	- \$	-						\$	-
Admin Fees for TAMC 1%	\$ 3	1,841.26 \$	16,222.00	21,630.00 \$	36,185.58	16,233.00 \$	21,644.00 \$	29,744.75 \$	15,252.00 \$	27,518.17 \$	20,742.40 \$	23,013.04 \$	20,236.92 \$	280,263.12
Interest Revenue	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	10,117.13	- \$	- \$	19,571.02	\$	29,583.14		s	44,316.82 \$	103,588.11
Allocation for initial costs and Matl & Services	\$ 4	3,151.91 \$	- 9	62,608.14		- \$	-						\$	105,760.05
	\$ 7	4,993.17 \$	16,222.00	84,238.14	46,302.71	16,233.00 \$	21,644.00 \$	49,315.77 \$	15,252.00 \$	57,101.31 \$	20,742.40 \$	23,013.04 \$	64,553.74 \$	489,611.28
60% Allocation to County and Cities														
Carmel Sales Tax Receipts	s 2	2,012.66 \$	11,370.32	14,717.63	25,363.19	11,378.03 \$	15,170.71 \$	20,848.69 \$	10,690.43 \$	19,288.03 \$	14,538.76 \$	16,130.30 \$	14,184.46 \$	195,693.21
Del Rey Oaks Sales Tax Receipts	s	8.021.56 \$	4,143.42		9,242.52		5.528.31 \$	7,597.40 \$	3,895.67 \$	7,028.69 \$	5,298.02 \$	5,877.99 \$		
Gonzales Sales Tax Receipts	s 2	2.385.75 \$			25,793.08		15,427.84 \$	21,202.06 \$	10,871.63 \$		14,785.18 \$	16,403.70		•
Greenfield Sales Tax Receipts		7,942.82 \$,		33,041.30 \$	45,407.75 \$	23,283,40 \$		31,664.93 \$	35,131.25 \$		
King City Sales Tax Receipts		3,652.22 \$					30,084.29 \$	41,344.02 \$	21,199.67 \$		28,831.10 \$	31,987.21		
Marina Sales Tax Receipts	•	5,365.37 \$					51,940.41 \$	71,380.27 \$	36,601.14 \$		49,776.78 \$	55,225.78		-
Monterey Sales Tax Receipts		5,473.18 \$		•	133,049.30	•	79,581.96 \$	109,367.29 \$	56,079.47 \$		76,266,90 \$	84,615.73		
Pacific Grove Sales Tax Receipts		2,680.11 \$					43,197.96 \$	59.365.77 \$	30,440.55 \$		41,398.51 \$	45,930.35		
Salinas Sales Tax Receipts		7,189.62 \$					328,870.20 \$	451,957.25 \$	231,746.80 \$			349,672.10		4,242,231.3
Sand City Sales Tax Receipts		3,171.32 \$	1.638.10	2,120.34	3.654.02	•	2.185.61 \$	3.003.63 \$	1,540.15 \$		2,094.57 \$	2.323.86		
Seaside Sales Tax Receipts	-	3,234.60 \$,	78,039.17 \$, .	54,992.31 \$		74,788.38 \$	82,975.36	-,	1,006,659.3
Soledad Sales Tax Receipts	•	3,985.94 \$					44,097.92 \$	60,602.56 \$	31,074.73 \$		42,260.98 \$	46,887.23		
County Sales Tax Receipts		0,364.26 \$			933,709.45		558,487.92 \$	767,514.58 \$	393,552.85 \$	710,061.15 \$	535,223.58 \$	593,813.79		
									905,968.80 \$		•	1,366,974.65		
Total All Cities and County Sales Tax Receipts 40% Allocation to Regional Projects	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,652.95 \$	642,391.20	831,504.74	1,432,948.82			1,177,892.24 \$		1,089,719.38 \$		911,316.43		
·	\$ 1,24	3,652.95 \$	642,391.20	831,504.74				1,177,892.24 \$ 2,994,046.37 \$						
40% Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$	642,391.20 \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$							2,068,008.93 \$	28,129,899.3
40% Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$							5 2,068,008.93 \$	28,129,899.3
40% Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ - \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$		1,525,200.00 \$	2,781,399.77 \$			\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3
Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$	3,628,674.75 - - -	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$	2,164,400.00 \$ - - 37,210.63			2,781,399.77 \$			\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ 32,119.76 \$	28,129,899.3- - - 131,476.9
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1)	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66	2,994,046.37 \$	1,525,200.00 \$	2,781,399.77 \$	2,074,239.87 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ 32,119.76 \$ \$ 713.49 \$	28,129,899.3 - - 131,476.9 4,027.8
Total Allocated Receipts Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2)	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$	3,628,674.75	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$		1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$	2,074,239.87 \$	2,301,304.12	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1)	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matt & Services (1) 8020 Matt & Services (2)	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.34 131,476.90 4,027.86 53,691.26 59,752.80
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59	2,074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$	2,301,304.12 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ 418.80 \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$	2,301,304.12 \$ \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 59,752.8 248,948.8
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$	3,628,674.75 15,176.06	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 7 -	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$	2,074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - 15,176.06 - - - -	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 7 -	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 - \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0 426,723.0
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee 800 Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 7	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$	977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0 426,723.0 388,533.7
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2354 King City Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$ 164,924.15 \$	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 7 - \$ \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 100,963.87 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0 426,723.0 388,533.7 670,801.8
Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2354 King City Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32,71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ 17,553.81 \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$ 164,924.15 \$ 252,693.19 \$	3,628,674.75 - - - 15,176.06 - - - - -	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 418.80 \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 7	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 100,963.87 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0 426,723.0 388,533.7 670,801.8 1,027,838.0
Total Allocated Receipts Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2354 King City Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 - \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$ 113,231.20 \$ 103,097.65 \$ 177,997.68 \$ 272,774.20 \$ 148,064.83 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$ 144,973.79 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3 131,476.9 4,027.8 53,691.2 59,752.8 248,948.8 195,926.1 71,397.2 229,707.0 426,723.0 388,533.7 670,801.8 1,027,838.0 557,921.7
Total Allocated Receipts Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matt & Services (1) 8020 Matt & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2357 Pacific Grove Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 - \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$ 113,231.20 \$ 103,097.65 \$ 177,997.68 \$ 272,774.20 \$ 148,064.83 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,525,200.00 \$ \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 110,963.87 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$ 144,973.79 \$	2,074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.3- 131,476.9- 4,027.8- 53,691.2- 59,752.8- 248,948.8- 195,926.1- 71,397.2- 229,707.0- 426,723.0- 388,533.7- 670,801.8- 1,027,838.0- 557,921.7- 4,247,511.4-
Total Allocated Receipts Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2355 Marian Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2357 Pacific Grove Distribution 2358 Salinas Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$ 164,924.15 \$ 252,693.19 \$ 137,164.64 \$ 1,044,247.39 \$ 6,939.88 \$	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 - \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$ - \$ 113,231.20 \$ 103,097.65 \$ 177,997.68 \$ 272,774.20 \$ 148,064.83 \$ 1,127,231.62 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,781,399.77 \$ 29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 100,963.87 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$ 244,973.79 \$ 1,103,699.27 \$ 7,334.99 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ 997.15 \$ 997.16 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.34 131,476.90 4,027.86 53,691.26 59,752.86 248,948.86 195,926.14 71,397.22 229,707.04 426,723.03 388,533.7 670,801.83 1,027,838.03 557,921.76 4,247,511.43
Total Allocation to Regional Projects Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2357 Pacific Grove Distribution 2358 Salinas Distribution 2358 Salinas Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 48,170.91 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$ 164,924.15 \$ 252,693.19 \$ 137,164.64 \$ 1,044,247.39 \$ 6,939.88 \$ 247,794.45 \$	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$	2,164,400.00 \$ 37,210.63 3,281.66 - \$ 19,288.18 59,780.47 \$ 51,988.32 \$ 18,945.30 \$ - \$ 113,231.20 \$ 103,097.65 \$ 177,997.68 \$ 272,774.20 \$ 148,064.83 \$ 1,127,231.62 \$ 7,491.38 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$	1,525,200.00 \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 100,963.87 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$ 144,973.79 \$ 1,103,699.27 \$ 7,334.99 \$ 261,902.06 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	28,129,899.34 131,476.90 4,027.86 53,691.25 59,752.80 248,948.86 195,926.14 71,397.22 229,707.04 426,723.03 388,533.71 670,801.82 1,027,838.03 557,921.76 4,247,511.41 28,228.20 1,007,912.24
Total Allocated Receipts Total Allocated Receipts Costs County Election Fee BOE Implementation Fee 8000 Admin Fees Paid to TAMC 8010 Matl & Services (1) 8020 Matl & Services (2) 7000 Pavement Mgmt Total Costs Distribution to Cities/County 2350 Carmel Distribution 2351 Del Rey Oaks Distribution 2352 Gonzales Distribution 2353 Greenfield Distribution 2355 Marina Distribution 2356 Monterey Distribution 2357 Pacific Grove Distribution 2358 Salinas Distribution 2359 Sand City Distribution	\$ 1,24 \$ 3,18 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,652.95 \$ 4,125.53 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	642,391.20 \$ 1,622,200.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	831,504.74 \$ 2,163,000.00 \$ - \$ 33,087.52 \$ 32.71 \$ 4,676.67 \$ 18,717.55 \$ 56,514.45 \$ 17,553.81 \$ - \$ 104,914.71 \$ 95,525.37 \$ 164,924.15 \$ 25,693.19 \$ 137,164.64 \$ 1,044,247.39 \$ 6,939.88 \$ 247,794.45 \$ 140,022.23 \$	3,628,674.75 	\$ 1,623,300.00 \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 5 - \$ \$ 418.80 \$ \$ - \$ \$ 5 - \$	2,164,400.00 \$	2,994,046.37 \$ 3,973.34 \$ 3,973.34 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	1,525,200.00 \$ 14,216.78 \$ 14,216.78 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	29,058.99 8,960.28 \$ 13,012.59 51,031.86 \$ 50,913.39 \$ 18,553.19 \$ 184,093.28 \$ 110,887.66 \$ 100,963.87 \$ 174,313.68 \$ 267,079.68 \$ 144,973.79 \$ 1,103,699.27 \$ 7,334.99 \$ 261,902.06 \$ 147,994.08 \$	2.074,239.87 \$ 977.21 \$ 977.21 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	2,301,304.12 \$ 997.15 \$ 997.15 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$ 2,068,008.93 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	131,476.90 4,027.86 53,691.26 59,752.80 248,948.85 195,926.14 71,397.22 229,707.04 426,723.03 388,533.71 670,801.82 1,027,838.03 557,921.76 28,228.26 1,007,912.24 569,545.15

554 Transportation Safety & Investment Plan Account (TSIPA) Measure X Summary of Receipts and Disbursements July 2017 through June 2018

		Jul 17	ı	Aug 17	 Sep 17	Oct 1	17	No	ov 17		Dec 17	Ja	n 18		Feb 18	 Mar 18	Apr 18		Ma	18	Jun 18	TOTAL
Regional Share Project Costs																						
7100 Safe Routes	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 12,779.94	\$	-	\$	-	\$	12,914.44	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 13,323.24			\$	5,500.00	21,552.69	66,070.31
7200 Senior & Disabled Transportation	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,141.78	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,302.15	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 79,184.05 \$		-	\$	- :	73,458.05	165,086.03
7300 FORTAG	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 292.86	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,113.89	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 21,451.50 \$		-	\$	- :	23,837.00	47,695.25
7410 HWY 68 Salinas to Monterey	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 821.74	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,640.19	\$	-	\$		\$ 4,674.40 \$		-	\$	- :	4,694.04	26,830.37
7420 SR 156 - Castroville Blvd.	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,165.08	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- :	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - \$		-	\$	- :	2,469.32	3,634.40
7430 Holman Highway - Pacific Grove	\$	_	\$	-	\$ 1,138.10	\$	-	\$	-	\$	13,199.54	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,055.90 \$		-	\$	- :	1,442.17	18,835.71
7440 Imjin Multimodal Corridor	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,815.55	\$	-	\$	-	\$	12,010.82	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 16,793.17 \$		-	\$	- :	2,323.80	32,943.34
7450 US 101 South County	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,066.47	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 178.05 \$		-	\$	- :	650.57	1,895.09
7460 Hwy 1 Rapid Bus Corridor	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 439.29	\$	-	\$	-	\$:	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - \$		-	\$	- :	3,396.06	3,835.35
7500 Commuter Bus, Salinas Valley Transit Center & Vanpools	\$	-	\$	_	\$ _	\$	-	\$		\$	- :	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - \$		-	\$	- :	117.07	117.07
7600 Habitat Preservation	\$	-	\$	_	\$ 249.06	\$		\$	-	\$	82.56	\$	-	\$		\$ 9,693.43 \$		-	\$	- ;	1,718.50	11,743.55
Total Regional Share Project Costs	\$	-	\$		\$ 21,843.40	\$		\$		\$	67,330.06	\$		\$	-	\$ 148,353.74 \$		-	\$	5,500.00	135,659.27	378,686.47
Total Disbursed					 4 444 642 22	e 1E	176 DE	•	410.0	0 \$	4 400 700 50	c	3,973,34	\$	14,216,78	 4,645,974.11 \$	07	7 24		2 407 45	2 000 000 04	47.000.005.54
i otal Dispursed Remaining Fund	\$ 3	3,184,125.5	3 5 1	622,200.0	 4,111,613.23		176.06		418.8 22,881.2		4,480,780.59	φ \$29	90,073.03	_	1,510,983,22	 1,864,574.34) \$	2,073,26	7.21		6,497.15 S	3,982,668.24	

⁽¹⁾ Materials and Services paid by TAMC for Measure X

⁽²⁾ Materials and Services paid from Measure X County Account



Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee

From: Theresa Wright, Community Outreach Coordinator

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: 2019 Meeting Schedule

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

APPROVE 2019 schedule of the eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee meetings.

SUMMARY:

The proposed schedule of the eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee meetings for 2019 follows the existing pattern of quarterly meetings held at 2:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of the month in January, April, July and October of each year.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None

DISCUSSION:

Please mark your calendars for the 2019 meeting schedule as follows:

- January 15 Marina Branch Library, Marina
- April 16 Agricultural Center Conference Room, Salinas
- July 16 Marina Branch Library, Marina
- October 15 Agricultural Center Conference Room, Salinas

The meeting time remains the same at 2:00 p.m. The meeting location will alternate as approved by the committee between a Monterey Peninsula location and a Salinas location.



Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee **From:** Theresa Wright, Community Outreach Coordinator

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: Local Measure X Project Update

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE presentation on Measure X local projects from the City of Salinas.

SUMMARY:

The Measure X Transportation Safety and Investment Plan was approved by over 2/3rd of voters in November 2016. The measure is anticipated to generate \$20 million annually with 60% of the revenues allocated to local jurisdictions. This report provides an update on the status of Measure X projects in the City of Salinas.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The amount of Measure X revenues for the City of Salinas is projected to be approximately \$3.4 million annually.

DISCUSSION:

The update of the City of Salinas' Pavement Management System (PMS) has been completed. The PMS was developed by Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and its sub-consultant, Adhara Systems, Inc. Adhara's Reports stated that as of November 4, 2017, the City's overall Pavement Condition Index (PCI) is 54. The PCI is a numerical index between 0 and 100 which is used to indicate the general condition of a pavement, with 100 representing the best possible condition and 0 representing the worst possible condition. The PCI rating of 54 places the City's street network condition in the lower end of the "Good" category.

Adhara's Budget Report states that the City's current roadway maintenance backlog is \$138 million. If no maintenance is applied over the next five years, roads already distressed will continue to deteriorate and the network PCI will drop to the "Poor" score of 43 and a maintenance backlog of \$280 million. Given the backlog of maintenance, safety, congestion relief, and complete streets projects, the City is

committing to spending \$9 million per year on pavement maintenance, which is estimated to improve its PCI to 56.

The City has also developed a list of current street improvement projects being designed and prioritized for the use of the bond proceeds to address the backlog of deferred street maintenance. Some of this funding will leverage Senate Bill 1 (SB1) funding approved last year. The proposed projects include:

- E. Boronda Road Traffic Congestion Relief
- Bardin Road Safe Routes to School
- E. Laurel Drive Sidewalk and Lights
- ADA sidewalks, ramps, and traffic signal upgrades throughout the city
- Williams Road Improvements and Utilities Undergrounding
- Main Street street-scape and traffic signal upgrades
- New traffic signals
- Traffic calming

All of these improvements are eligible costs payable from Measure X revenues, including engineering, inspections, contract administration and other incidental costs. The particular streets and roadways to be improved are part of the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and budget approved by City Council.

This year the City has \$50 million budgeted in its CIP for more than 40 transportation improvement projects, and over the next three years, \$85 million is earmarked. The proposed funding for these projects comes from 8 different sources, including approximately \$3.4 million from Measure X and \$2.9 million from SB1, annually.

To provide expedited funding for street improvement projects, the City of Salinas issued a Transportation Revenue Certificate of Participation (COP) through the California Statewide Communities Development Authority Transportation and Regional Infrastructure Project (TRIP) pooled securitization program to finance certain street improvement projects. The Transportation Revenue COP authorizes an amount not to exceed \$40.7 million. Measure X proceeds will be used to pay the annual payments of approximately \$2.3 million over 28 years.

ATTACHMENTS:

City of Salinas Measure X Project List

DRAFT

Measure X/SB-1 Infrastructure Expenditure								
		Fiscal Year 1	Fiscal Year 2	Fiscal Year 3				
Project Name		18/19	19/20	20/21				
*PMS Findings		10 M	10 M	10 M				
Boronda Road		5 M	5 M	5 M				
Bardin Road (PH1+PH 2)		3 M	_	_				
E. Laurel Drive		_	7 M	_				
ADA-Sidewalk/Ramp/TS Upgrades		2.3 M	2.3 M	2.3 M				
Williams Rd Design		_	1 M	_				
Main St Street Scape								
Project		6 M	7 M					
Traffic Signals Upgrades	CIP 9654	0.5 M	0.5 M	0.5 M				
New Traffic Signal (6 total)		1.5 M	1.5 M	_				
Traffic Calming		1.5 M	1.5 M	1.5 M				
*Must Spend 12 M/yr to increase from 54 PCI to 59 PCI otherwise end up with backlog of \$138 M increasing to \$246 M after 5 years.	Total Cost Per Year	29.8 M	35.8 M	19.3 M				

Grand	
Total	84.9 M





Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee **From:** Theresa Wright, Community Outreach Coordinator

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: November Ballot Update

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE an update on Proposition 6, the ballot measure that would repeal the Senate Bill 1 gas tax and fees, and the impacts that this ballot measure would have on the delivery of priority Measure X projects and local road maintenance in Monterey County.

SUMMARY:

TAMC staff will present an update on Proposition 6, the proposed repeal of new gas taxes and vehicle registration fees.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) increased California's gas taxes and vehicle fees for the first time in nearly 25 years. The gas tax revenue represents the first new investment in fixing California's roads in decades. If the gas taxes and vehicle fees are repealed, Monterey County will face a significant shortfall in meeting its transportation needs for years to come.

DISCUSSION:

In April 2017, the California State Legislature passed, and Governor Brown signed, Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), which increases the gas tax by \$0.12 per gallon, increases the diesel fuel tax by \$0.20 per gallon, increases the sales tax on diesel fuels by an additional 4 percentage points, indexes the taxes to inflation, creates an annual transportation improvement fee based on vehicle value, and creates an annual zero-emission vehicle fee, phased in over time.

The stated purpose of this measure was to keep up with the inflationary cost of improving California's transportation system over the last 24 years, and to make up for the lost of revenues due to increasing fuel efficiency of vehicles. The estimated cost of these combined fees and taxes to the average California motorist is \$8 per month. The Legislature agreed to focus the use of these funds primarily on maintenance and safety repairs in recognition of the estimated \$130 billion backlog of repair

projects for California's crumbling highways, roads, bridges, and transit systems. In Monterey County alone, this backlog of unfunded maintenance and safety needs is estimated at \$1 billion.

To date, Monterey County has secured the following funding from these new gas taxes and fees:

- \$31 million for priority highway projects in the five-year State Transportation Improvement Program;
- \$19 million for the Imjin Parkway Multimodal Corridor project;
- \$21 million for local road repairs in fiscal year (FY) 2017-18;
- \$1,510,000 in matching funds for Measure X projects in FY17-18 and FY18-19, including the Fort Ord Regional Trail and Greenway;
- \$505,000 in matching funds for Monterey Salinas Transit (MST) Senior Citizens, Veterans and People with Disabilities transit projects in FY17-18 and FY18-19;
- \$2.5 million to MST for bus services each year;
- \$772,000 to MST to replace 14 mini buses; and,
- \$10 million for the Salinas Rail Extension project.

Caltrans is also putting its share of the "fix it first" formula funds to work on highways across the state, including new paving on Highways 68 and 101 in Monterey County.

The adopted TAMC five-year integrated funding plan (see web attachment) provides a strategy to match Measure X funds with state and federal dollars at a 2-to-1 ratio, primarily counting on these state gas tax funds. Taken together, all of these projects are intended to provide tangible, direct benefits to the traveling public in Monterey County with smoother roads, extended and improved transit service, and less congestion. It would be virtually impossible for Monterey County to construct large projects on that list without these new state transportation revenues.

Proposition 6, the "California Voter Approval for Gas and Vehicle Taxes Initiative," a constitutional amendment measure to repeal SB 1 and require gas tax increases to be subject to voter approval, will appear on the November 6, 2018 ballot. Staff will provide a verbal update on this Proposition at the meeting.

WEB ATTACHMENTS:

• TAMC 2017 Integrated Funding Plan



Memorandum

To: eXcellent Transportation Oversight Committee

From: Debra L. Hale, Executive Director

Meeting Date: October 16, 2018

Subject: Transportation Town Hall Report

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECEIVE report on the Transportation Town Hall in Gonzales held on September 19 and 20.

SUMMARY:

The City of Gonzales, the Transportation Agency for Monterey County and the Central Coast Coalition hosted 5 members of the California Transportation Commission and their staff at a Salinas Valley town hall. The purpose of the event was to provide a local perspective on the transportation needs in the region.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The two-day event cost approximately \$3,000 and was paid for by public and private sponsors, including: TAMC, Monterey-Salinas Transit (who provided the bus for the tour), the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, the Monterey Bay Chapter of the American Public Works Association, Harris & Associates, MNS Engineers, the Wallace Group, Psomas and PointC.

DISCUSSION:

The town hall provided an opportunity for transportation agencies in the region and members of the public to provide information on the transportation patterns and need for projects to the key decision-makers for funding in the state of California. Commission Chair Fran Inman and commissioners Carl Guardino, Jim Ghielmetti, Paul Von Konynenburg and Bob Alvarado, Executive Director Susan Bransen and Deputy Director Mitchell Weiss, and CTC staffers Garth Hopkins and Dawn Cheser attended the meeting in Gonzales. Mayor Maria Orozco, past TAMC Chair, welcomed the group to Gonzales, before a standing room only crowd. Some of the Measure X Oversight Committee members were able to attend, and Norm Groot, Farm Bureau of Monterey County, was one of the speakers. The commissioners also heard from other representatives of the agricultural industry, TAMC, Caltrans and the US 101 Central Coast Coalition of five transportation agencies. The new South of Salinas

Traffic Alliance made a presentation on their desire improve safety at US 101 and Spence Road. The meeting was followed by a tour of the Taylor Farms cooler, arranged by the City of Gonzales. South County Supervisor Simon Salinas gave a presentation to the group at the evening reception.

On day 2, the CTC staff and two commissioners took a tour of some of the region's priority project corridors, including State Routes 218, 68 and 156, as well as the US 101 corridor south of Salinas, the new Monterey-Salinas Transit operations and maintenance facility and the Salinas Train Station. TAMC has heard feedback that the commissioners and staff found the event to be very helpful and it certainly made an impression regarding the need for transportation safety improvements in the US 101 corridor.

The full agenda for the town hall, including speakers and tour guides, is attached. TAMC extends its thank you's to all who made the event a great success.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Town Hall Agenda
- D Town Hall Tour Map and Priority Project Descriptions



Transportation Town Hall

REVISED Agenda September 19 and 20, Salinas Valley

Day 1: Wednesday, September 19, Gonzales

1:00 pm - 3:30 pm: Meeting Gonzales City Hall, 117 Fourth Street

- Welcome
 - Maura Twomey, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG)
 - Debbie Hale, Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC)
- <u>Introduction of Commissioners</u> California Transportation Commission Chair Fran Inman
- <u>City of Gonzales overview</u> Mayor Maria Orozco and City Manager Rene Mendez
- Agricultural goods movement in the Salinas Valley
 - o Henry Gonzales Monterey County Agricultural Commissioner
 - Norm Groot CEO, Monterey County Farm Bureau
 - o Kim Stemler Executive Director, Monterey County Vintners and Growers
- <u>Discussion, Public Comment</u>
- Monterey County Transportation
 - o Overview: Debbie Hale, TAMC Executive Director
 - o Innovations: Rich Deal, TAMC Principal Engineer
- <u>2:20 pm Break</u>
- Transit Service in the Valley
 - o Monterey Salinas Transit services Carl Sedoryk, CEO & General Manager
 - Regional Rural Transit Task Force Heather Adamson, Director of Planning, AMBAG
- North-South Freight Corridor
 - o US 101 corridor overview Tim Gubbins, Caltrans District 5 Director
 - o Central Coast Coalition -SB 1-funded projects Marjie Kirn, Central Coast Coalition, Chair

3:30 pm - Van to Taylor Farms

Also, see Alta Street Project – first major Measure X & SB 1 local project

3:45 pm – 4:45 pm: Taylor Farms tour

5:00 pm - Reception, 4th Street Tap House, Gonzales Speakers:
Monterey County Supervisor Simon Salinas
CTC Chair Fran Inman



Day 2: Thursday, September 20, Salinas

Tour guides:

Theresa Wright, Debbie Hale, Rich Deal, Christina Watson, TAMC Carl Sedoryk, MST Butch Lindley, former County Supervisor

8:30 am – meet at Embassy Suites lobby, Seaside

- Highway 218 corridor safety study
- Highway 68 Monterey to Salinas Scenic Highway
- Monterey-Salinas Transit Operations & Maintenance Facility
- US 101 South of Salinas agricultural goods movement
- Rail Extension to Salinas Salinas Train Station update
- State Route 156 Castroville Blvd interchange
- Rapid Bus Corridor Highway 1

<u>11:30 am – arrive at Embassy Suites hotel</u>



Monterey County Priority Projects

SEPTEMBER 20, 2018



TOUR ROUTE Tour starts and ends at Embassy Suites

- **Highway 218 Improvements**
- **Highway 68 Improvements**
- Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST)
- **US-101 South County**
- 5 Salinas Rail Project
- **Highway 156 Improvements**
- **Rapid Bus Corridor**

Page 25 of 26

1 Highway 218 Improvements

Highway 218, also known as Canyon Del Rey Boulevard, is the main boulevard in the cities of Del Rey Oaks and Seaside. Residents, businesses, and parks are located along the highway; the corridor is also used by children to get to school. While there is a network of parks parallel to the highway, walking paths are not connected to each other, and there is a lack of safe crossings and sidewalks. The Caltrans-funded Canyon Del Rey Corridor Study aims to identify issues and safety improvements that can be made along the roadway. It will also coordinate with the planned Fort Ord Regional Trail and Greenway, which is envisioned as a safe and scenic travel corridor for pedestrians and bicyclists via the adjacent parks. The goal of the study is to make Canyon Del Rey Boulevard safer for drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians of all ages and abilities by creating a set of publicly-supported improvements and a strategy to build them.

Monterey-Salinas Transit (мsт)

Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) used to house its administration, operations, and maintenance at this Monterey location. Maintenance bays were inadequate to service the entire fleet, and work often took place outside, rain or shine. To save operating costs and to better serve its 4.5 million passengers, the region's transit district moved its administration to another building and built this new state-of-the-art Operations and Maintenance facility. Funding for this \$22 million project came from state Prop 1B and the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program. MST now deploys 40% of its public transit services from this location facility. The 33,958 square foot facility, completed in June 2018, supports operations, maintenance and parts storage, as well as MST's communications center and emergency operations center.

3 Highway 68 Improvements

As the main connector between the Monterey Peninsula and Salinas (Monterey County's two principal urbanized areas), Highway 68 is often congested during peak hours. In fact, bus service on the corridor is limited due to unreliable travel times. Home to the Laguna Seca Raceway, several schools, the Fort Ord National Monument, and the Monterey Regional Airport, this corridor is also quite congested during the summertime and on weekends. In addition, Highway 68 creates a barrier to wildlife movement, resulting in conflicts that harm both animals and motorists. Due to these issues, voters identified improvements to Highway 68 as their top priority in the local Measure X transportation sales tax plan. The project aims to improve traffic flow and increase safety for all travel modes by adding a network of roundabouts, creating safe wildlife passages, and building a parallel biking and walking trail.

4 US-101 South County

US-101 is the primary corridor for the over 11,000 annual truck trips that support Monterey County's \$4.4 billion agricultural industry. Much of this truck traffic is focused south of Salinas: drivers deliver goods from the fields to the coolers for packaging and storage, and then to markets all around the country. Currently, a non-standard left merge lane, U-turns, and left turns add to the traffic congestion; there are also frequent truck-auto conflicts on this stretch of US-101. The corridor is targeted in regional Measure X for improvements to better accomodate this heavy truck traffic by constructing frontage roads, adding a new interchange, and removing left and U-turns.

5 Salinas Rail Project

As more residents commute between Monterey County and the San Francisco Bay Area, traffic congestions on US-101 has grown. The Salinas Rail Project is part of the greater Monterey County Rail Extension that will improve access to jobs, healthcare, and shopping. This project will provide new passenger rail service from Santa Clara County to Salinas, the largest city on the Central Coast. This project represents an unprecedented collaboration among state and regional transportation agencies, the City of Salinas and the County of Monterey, to put into place the rail infrastructure that Monterey County needs for it to thrive in the 21st century.

6 Highway 156 Safety Improvements

Highway 156 is a major link connecting the San Francisco Bay Area and northern Monterey County to the Monterey Peninsula. With its present two-lane configuration, the highway results in substantial traveler delays, particularly during the summer tourist season and event weekends. This congestion affects visitor travel to and from the Peninsula, as well as travel between US-101 and Highway 1 for commuters. In addition, the traffic impedes access to the Oak Hills neighborhood. The preferred solution is to build a new four-lane expressway just south of the current highway. The daunting challenge is finding \$388 million dollars to fund the entire project. To make progress, the Transportation Agency is moving forward with Phase 1, addressing a serious safety concern by removing a signal and building a new interchange at Castroville Boulevard and Highway 156. A new connection to Blackie Road will improve commercial truck access to the region's largest distribution center and make it safer for pedestrians and local traffic on Highway 183 through the low-income community of Castroville.

7 Rapid Bus Corridor

The Monterey Peninsula is home to the region's nearly \$3 billion tourist industry. Nearly 22,000 workers must travel from other cities to reach these jobs, which results in heavy rush hour traffic on Highway 1 between Monterey and Marina. Buses are stuck in the same traffic. The Transportation Agency-owned Monterey Branch Line provides a parallel transportation route that is available for transit service. A recent MST study showed that bus operations along the Monterey Branch Line would be a cost-effective way to reduce travel times in this corridor. This Measure X project will create a new rapid bus corridor to provide an alternative to the traffic congestion on Highway 1.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Maura Twomey, AMBAG mtwomey@ambag.org
Carl Sedoryk, MST csedoryk@mst.org
Debbie Hale, TAMC debbie@tamcmonterey.org